arXiv:1411.2293v1 [math.NT] 9 Nov 2014

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF A COTANGENT SUM

SANDRO BETTIN

Abstract. Maier and Rassias computed the moments and proved a distribution result for the cotangent sum $\mathrm{c}_0(a/q) := -\sum_{m<q} \frac{m}{q} \cot\Big(\frac{\pi m a}{q}\Big)$ on average over $1/2 < A_0 \le a/q < A_1 < 1$, as $q \to \infty$. We give a simple argument that recovers their results (with stronger error terms) and extends them to the full range $1 \le a < q$. Moreover, we give a density result for c_0 and answer a question posed by Maier and Rassias on the growth of the moments of c_0.

1. Introduction

In this note, we consider the cotangent sum

$$\mathrm{c}_0(a/q) := -\sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \frac{m}{q} \cot\left(\frac{\pi m a}{q}\right), \qquad (a,q)=1, q \ge 1,$$

which is related to the Nyman-Beurling criterion for the Riemann hypothesis (see, for example, [Bag, BC]) and was recently studied in [BC] and [MR]. In [BC], Conrey and the author proved that c_0 satisfies the reciprocity formula

$$\mathrm{c}_0(a/q) + q/a\, \mathrm{c}_0(q/a) - (\pi q)^{-1} = \psi(a/q), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\psi(x)$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\le 0}$ and satisfies several nice properties. In particular, it satisfies the three term relation $\psi(x) = \psi(x+1) + (x+1)^{-1}\psi(x/(x+1))$ and has an asymptotic expansion for $x \to 0$, starting by

$$\psi(x) = -\frac{\log(2\pi x) - \gamma}{\pi x} + O(\log x). \tag{1.2}$$

Ishibashi [Ish] observed that c_0 is also related to the value at $s=0$, or $s=1$ by the functional equation, of the ("imaginary part" of the) Estermann function:

$$\mathrm{c}_0(a/q) = \frac{1}{2} D_{\sin}(0, a/q) = 2q\pi^{-2} D_{\sin}(1, \overline{a}/q), \tag{1.3}$$

where for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Re(s) > 1$,

$$D_{\sin}(s,x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n)\sin(2\pi n x)}{n^s}$$

with $d(n)$ indicating the divisor function. If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $D_{\sin}(s,x)$ can be extended to an entire function of s satisfying the functional equation

$$\Lambda_{\sin}(s, a/q) := \Gamma\big(\tfrac{1+s}{2}\big)^2 (q/\pi)^s D_{\sin}(s, a/q) = \Lambda_{\sin}(1-s, \overline{a}/q), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\overline{a}$ denotes the inverse of a modulo the denominator q.

If $x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, then de la Bretèche and Tenenbaum [dBT] showed that the convergence of the series at $s=1$ is equivalent to the convergence of $\sum_{n\geq 1}(-1)^n \log v_{n+1}/v_n$, where u_n/v_n denotes the n-th partial quotient of x. Moreover, they also showed that for $x\notin\mathbb{Q}$

$$D_{\sin}(1,x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n)\sin(2\pi n x)}{n} = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{2}-\{nx\}}{n},$$

whenever one of the two series converges, and where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of x.

Recently, in a difficult paper Maier and Rassias [MR] computed the moments of $\mathrm{c}_0(a/q)$ proving that

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{(a,q)=1,\\ A_0<a/q<A_1}} \mathrm{c}_0(a/q)^k = H_k q^k (1+o(1)) \tag{1.5}$$

as $q\to\infty$, for certain constants H_k and any fixed $\frac{1}{2}<A_0<A_1<1$ and where $\phi(q)$ is Euler's function,. They also computed the distribution of $\frac{1}{q}\mathrm{c}_0(a/q)$ and proved that

$$\frac{1}{(A_1-A_0)\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{(a,q)=1,\\ A_0<a/q<A_1}} f\Big(\tfrac{1}{q}\mathrm{c}_0(a/q)\Big) = (1+o(1)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dF(x), \tag{1.6}$$

as $q\to\infty$ for any continuous function of compact support $f(x)$ and where $F(x)$ is the continuous (as it is shown in the same paper) function defined by

$$F(x) := \mathrm{meas}\big(\{z\in[0,1] \mid 2\pi^{-2}D(1,z)\leq x\}\big).$$



FIGURE 1. The histogram of $2\pi^{-2}D(1,x)$ and the graph of $F(x)$ obtained by sampling $D(1,x)$ (truncated at $n\leq 10^5$) at 10^5 points chosen uniformly in $[0,1]$.

In this note we extend the results of [MR] to the full range $A_0=0, A_1=1$. Moreover, since we are averaging over the full range, our results immediately give moments and distribution also for $D(1,a/q)$ or, equivalently, for the Vasyunin sum $V(a,q) := -\mathrm{c}_0(\overline{a}/q)$.

Our method, with the orthogonality for additive characters replaced by Weil's bound (as in Lemma 8 of [DFI]), gives also the case when $A_0 \neq 0, A_1 \neq 1$, thus providing a new simpler proof of the results of Maier and Rassias with stronger bounds for the error terms. In particular, we obtain (1.5) with the error term $o(1)$ replaced by $O_\varepsilon(q^{k-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(Ak\log q)^{2k})$, allowing us to handle the case of short intervals $[A_0, A_1]$ with $A_1 - A_0 \gg q^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$. However, since the details are identical, we limit ourselves to the full range $A_0 = 0, A_1 = 1$.

Theorem 1. *Let $q \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{a=1,\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \mathrm{c}_0(a/q)^k = H_k q^k + O_\varepsilon(q^{k-1+\varepsilon}(Ak\log q)^{2k}), \tag{1.7}$$

for some absolute constant $A > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, where

$$H_k := (i\pi^2)^{-k} \sum_{\substack{(n_1,\dots,n_k)\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^k,\\ n_1+\cdots+n_k=0}} \frac{d(|n_1|)\cdots d(|n_k|)}{n_1\cdots n_k}.$$

Remark 1. *If k is odd, then both H_k and the left hand side of (1.7) are identically zero.*

In the same paper Maier and Rassias ask whether $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_k t^k}{k!}$ has a positive radius of convergence. The following Theorem answers their question in the affirmative.

Theorem 2. *We have $H_k \ll A^k k!$ for some $A > 0$.*

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k t^k/k!$ has a positive radius of convergence, we immediately obtain the distribution of c_0 over the full range.

Corollary 1. *Let $q \geq 1$ and let $f(x)$ be a continuous function with compact support. Then, as $q \to \infty$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{a=1,\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} f\Big(\tfrac{1}{q}\,\mathrm{c}_0\Big(\tfrac{a}{q}\Big)\Big) = (1+o(1)) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dF(x).$$

Using (1.1), we can also give an alternative expression for $D_{\sin}(1, x)$ in terms of the denominators of the partial quotient of x.

Proposition 1. *Let $\langle a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots\rangle$ be the continued fraction expansion of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, let u_r/v_r be the r-th partial quotient of x. Then*

$$D_{\sin}(1, x) := \sum_n \frac{d(n)\sin(2\pi n x)}{n} = -\frac{\pi^2}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^\ell}{v_\ell}\left(\frac{1}{\pi v_\ell} + \psi\left(\frac{v_{\ell-1}}{v_\ell}\right)\right), \tag{1.8}$$

whenever either of the two series is convergent.

If $x = \langle a_0; a_1, \dots, a_r\rangle$ is a rational number, then the range of summation of the series on the right is to be interpreted to be $1 \leq \ell \leq r$.

Remark 2. *If $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ then one can write two different continued fraction expansion for x, but* (1.8) *holds regardless of the chosen expansion.*

Proposition 1, which constitutes a refinement of the aforementioned work of de la Bretèche and Tenenbaum, can be interpreted as an extension of the reciprocity formula (1.1) to $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$. We also remark that Proposition 1 is of independent interest as $D(1, a/q) = -\frac{\pi^2}{2q}V(a,q)$ is exactly the sum appearing in the Nyman-Beurling criterion for the Riemann hypothesis (c.f. [BC]).

The exact formula (1.8) allows us to prove the following corollary which, combined with (1.6) and the periodicity modulo 1 of c_0, implies that $\{\big(a/q, \frac{1}{q}c_0(a/q)\big) \mid (a,q) = 1, q \geq 1\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Corollary 2. *The function $F(x)$ is strictly increasing.*

Corollary 3. *We have that $\{\big(a/q, \frac{1}{q}c_0(a/q)\big) \mid (a,q) = 1, q \geq 1\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^2$.*

Acknowledgments

After sending them a preprint of this paper, the author was informed by Maier and Rassias that they have also obtained independently Theorem 2.1 using a somewhat similar argument.

The author would like to thank Maksym Radziwiłł for useful discussions.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Both in this and in the following section, we will consider $D(1, a/q)$ rather than $\mathrm{c}_0(a/q)$. The stated result then follows by (1.3). Moreover, we assume that k is even, as the result is trivial otherwise.

First, we observe that we can have

$$D_{\sin}(1, a/q) = \sum_{n \leq 2X} g_X(n) \frac{d(n)\sin(2\pi na/q)}{n} + O(q^{1+2\varepsilon_1}/X), \tag{2.1}$$

for $\varepsilon_1 = 0.1$ and where $g_X(x)$ is a bounded function supported in $[0, 2X]$ and identically 1 for $1 \leq x \leq X$. This can be seen by taking a smooth partition of unity satisfying

$$1 = \sideset{}{'}\sum_{M} F(x/M) \quad \forall x \in [1/2, \infty), \qquad \sideset{}{'}\sum_{Y_1 \leq M \leq Y_2} 1 \ll \log(2 + Y_2/Y_1) \quad 1 \leq Y_1 \leq Y_2,$$

where $F(x)$ is smooth, supported in $[1/2, 1]$, and satisfying $F^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$ for all $j \geq 0$ (so that the Mellin transform $\hat{F}(s)$ of $F(x)$ is entire and decays rapidly on vertical strips). Then, writing F in terms of its Mellin transform $\hat{F}(s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n \geq 1} F\Big(\frac{n}{M}\Big)\frac{d(n)\sin(2\pi na/q)}{n} &= \int_{(1)} \hat{F}(s) D(1+s, \tfrac{a}{q}) M^s ds = \int_{(-1-\varepsilon_1)} \hat{F}(s) D(1+s, \tfrac{a}{q}) M^s ds \\ &\ll q^{1+2\varepsilon_1} M^{-1-\varepsilon_1}\end{aligned}$$

as can be seen by using (1.4) and bounding trivially. Thus, (2.1) follows by taking $g_X(x) := \sum_{M \leq 2X} F(x/M)$.

By Euler's formula, when $X \geq q^{1+2\varepsilon_1}$ (2.1) gives

$$D_{\sin}(1,a/q)^k = (2i)^{-k} \sum_{n_1,\dots,n_k \in B_{2X}^*} \mathrm{e}\bigg((n_1+\cdots+n_k)\frac{a}{q}\bigg)\frac{\tilde{d}(n_1,\dots,n_k)}{n_1\cdots n_k} + O\bigg(\frac{(A\log X)^{2k}q^{1+2\varepsilon_1}}{X}\bigg),$$

where $B_X^* := [-X,X]\cap\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$,

$$\tilde{d}(n_1,\dots,n_k) := d(|n_1|)\cdots d(|n_k|)g(|n_1|/X)\cdots g(|n_k|/X)$$

and A denotes an absolute positive constant that might change from line to line. Thus, by Möbius inversion formula and the orthogonality of additive characters we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{\substack{a=1,\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} D_{\sin}(1,a/q)^k &= \sum_{\ell\mid q}\frac{\mu(q/\ell)}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{a=1}^{\ell} D_{\sin}(1,a/\ell)^k \\ &= (2i)^{-k}\sum_{\ell\mid q}\frac{\mu(q/\ell)\ell}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k\in B_{2X}^*,\\ n_1+\cdots+n_k\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}}}\frac{\tilde{d}(n_1,\dots,n_k)}{n_1\cdots n_k} + O\bigg(\frac{(A\log X)^{2k}q^{1+3\varepsilon_1}}{X}\bigg).\end{aligned}$$

The contribution of the terms with $n_1+\cdots+n_k\neq 0$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\ell\mid q}\frac{\ell}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k\in B_{2X}^*,\\ 0\neq n_1+\cdots+n_k\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}}}\frac{|\tilde{d}(n_1,\dots,n_k)|}{|n_1\cdots n_k|} &\ll_\varepsilon \sum_{\ell\mid q}\frac{k\ell}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k\in B_{2X}^*,\\ |n_1|\geq \ell/k,\\ 0\neq n_1+\cdots+n_k\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}}\frac{A^kX^\varepsilon d(|n_2|)\cdots d(|n_k|)}{|n_1\cdots n_k|} \\ &\ll_\varepsilon q^{-1+\varepsilon}A^kX^\varepsilon(\log X)^{2k},\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell/k\leq n\leq 2X,\\ n\equiv c \pmod{\ell}}}\frac{1}{n} \ll \frac{k}{\ell}+\frac{\log X}{\ell}.$$

The contribution of the diagonal term $n_1+\cdots+n_k=0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\ell\mid q}\frac{\mu(q/\ell)\ell}{\varphi(q)}(2i)^{-k}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k\in B_{2X}^*,\\ n_1+\cdots+n_k=0}}\frac{\tilde{d}(n_1,\dots,n_k)}{|n_1\cdots n_k|} &= \frac{\pi^{2k}}{2^k}H_k\sum_{\ell\mid q}\frac{\mu(q/\ell)\ell}{\varphi(q)} + O_\varepsilon((Ak)^{2k}q^\varepsilon X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2k}}{2^k}H_k + O_\varepsilon((Ak)^{2k}q^\varepsilon X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}),\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{\substack{(n_1,\dots,n_k)\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^k\setminus(B_{2X}^*)^k,\\ n_1+\cdots+n_k=0}}\frac{\tilde{d}(n_1,\dots,n_k)}{|n_1\cdots n_k|} \ll kA^kX^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\sum_{n_2,\dots,n_k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}}\frac{d(|n_2|)\cdots d(|n_k|)}{|n_2\cdots n_k|^{1+\frac{1/2}{k-1}}} \ll (Ak)^{2k}X^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon},$$

where we used $|n_1| \geq X^{\frac{1}{2}}|n_2\cdots n_k|^{\frac{1}{2(k-1)}}$ if $|n_2|,\dots,|n_k|,X \leq |n_1|$. Theorem 1 then follows upon choosing $X=q^3$.

3. Proof of Proposition 1 and Theorem 2

The following lemmas give Proposition 1 in the cases of $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ respectively.

Lemma 4. *Let $(a,q)=1$, $q>0$ and let $v_0,\dots,v_r$ be the partial denominators of the continued fraction expansion $a/q=\langle a_0;a_1,\dots,a_r\rangle$. Then*

$$D_{\sin}(1,a/q)=-\frac{\pi^2}{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{r}\frac{(-1)^\ell}{v_\ell}\left(\frac{1}{\pi v_\ell}+\psi\left(\frac{v_{\ell-1}}{v_\ell}\right)\right).$$

Proof. Write $\frac{b}{q}:=(-1)^{r+1}\frac{\overline{a}}{q}$. Then, one has the continued fraction expansion $b/q=\langle 0;b_1,\dots,a_r\rangle=\langle 0;a_r,\dots,a_1\rangle$. Moreover, the Euclid algorithm for b/q gives

$$\begin{aligned} &y_1=q, \qquad y_2=b,\\ &y_{n-1}=b_{n-1}y_n+y_{n+1}, \qquad n=1,\dots r+1,\end{aligned}$$

with $y_{r+1-\ell}=v_\ell$, where v_ℓ is the ℓ-th partial quotient of a/q (as usual we put $v_{-1}:=0$). Thus, applying repeatedly the reciprocity formula (1.1) and using that $c_0(1)=0$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q}c_0(b/q)=-\sum_{m=1}^{r}\frac{(-1)^m}{\pi y_m^2}-\sum_{m=1}^{r}\frac{(-1)^m}{y_m}\psi\left(\frac{y_{m+1}}{y_m}\right)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{r}\frac{(-1)^{\ell+r}}{v_\ell}\left(\frac{1}{\pi v_\ell}+\psi\left(\frac{v_{\ell-1}}{v_\ell}\right)\right)$$

and the Lemma follows by (1.3) since $D(s,x)=-D(s,-x)$. □

Lemma 5. *Let $x\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Q}$ and assume x has continued fraction expansion $x=\langle a_0;a_1,a_2,\dots\rangle$ with partial quotients $v_0,v_1,v_2,\dots$ Then*

$$D_{\sin}(1,a/q)=-\frac{\pi^2}{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^\ell}{v_\ell}\left(\frac{1}{\pi v_\ell}+\psi\left(\frac{v_{\ell-1}}{v_\ell}\right)\right), \tag{3.1}$$

whenever $D_{\sin}(1,a/q)$ is defined. Moreover, writing

$$D_X(1,x):=\sum_{n\le X}\frac{d(n)\sin(2\pi nx)}{n}, \qquad S(x):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\log v_{n+1}}{v_n},$$

we have $D_X(1,x)\ll S(x)$ and $D(1,x)\ll S(x)$, uniformly in $x\in[0,1]\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, $X\ge 2$.

Proof. For a large positive constant $B\ge 5$, let $\xi_r=v_r(\log v_r)^B$ and let R be the minimum integer such that $\xi_R\le X$. We can split $D_X(1,x)$ into

$$D_X(1,x)=\sum_{n\le\xi_R}d(n)\frac{\sin(2\pi nx)}{n}+\sum_{\xi_R\le n\le X}d(n)\frac{\sin(2\pi nx)}{n}. \tag{3.2}$$

The second addend can be treated using the work of de la Bretèche and Tenenbaum [dBT]. Indeed, by partial summation, if B is sufficiently large we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\xi_R\le n\le X}d(n)\frac{\sin(2\pi nx)}{n}&=\sum_{\xi_R\le n\le X}d(n)\frac{\sin(2\pi nx)}{X}+\int_{\xi_R}^{X}\sum_{\xi_R\le n\le t}d(n)\frac{\sin(2\pi nx)}{t^2}\,dt\\&=O\left(\frac{\log(v_{R+1})}{v_R}+\frac{1}{\log(v_R)}\right)\end{aligned}$$

by (11.1) and (11.4) of [dBT]. For the first addend of the right hand side of (3.2), we first observe that

$$\sum_{n\le\xi_R}\frac{d(n)\sin(2\pi nx)}{n}=\sum_{n\le\xi_R}\frac{d(n)\sin(2\pi n\frac{u_R}{v_R})}{n}+O\Big(\frac{(\log v_R)^{1+B}}{v_{R+1}}\Big)$$

since $|x-u_R/v_R|\le(v_Rv_{R+1})^{-1}$. Moreover, we observe that by Mellin's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\le\xi_R}d(n)\frac{\sin(2\pi n\frac{u_R}{v_R})}{n}&=D_{\sin}(1,u_R/v_R)+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C D_{\sin}(1+s,u_R/v_R)\xi_R^s\frac{ds}{s}+O((\log\xi_R)^2/T)\\&=D_{\sin}(1,u_R/v_R)+O(v_RT^{\frac12}(\log v_R)^2/\xi_R+(\log v_R)^2/T)\\&=D_{\sin}(1,u_R/v_R)+O((\log v_R)^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where C denotes the line from $s=(-1-\frac{1}{\log x})-iT$ to $s=(-1-\frac{1}{\log x})+iT$ with $T=(\log v_R)^4$ and where to bound the integral we used the functional equation (1.4) and a trivial bound.

Finally, by Lemma 4 we have

$$D_{\sin}(1,u_R/v_R)=-\frac{\pi^2}{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{R}\frac{(-1)^\ell}{v_\ell}\Big(\frac{1}{\pi v_\ell}+\psi\Big(\frac{v_{\ell-1}}{v_\ell}\Big)\Big)$$

and thus

$$D_X(1,x)=-\frac{\pi^2}{2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{R}\frac{(-1)^\ell}{v_\ell}\Big(\frac{1}{\pi v_\ell}+\psi\Big(\frac{v_{\ell-1}}{v_\ell}\Big)\Big)+O\Big(\frac{\log(v_{R+1})}{v_R}+\frac{1}{\log(v_R)}\Big).$$

As $X\to\infty$, we have $v_R\to\infty$ and, by Theorem 4.4 of [dBT], $\log(v_{R+1})/v_R\to0$ if (and only if) the series defining $S(1,x)$ converges and thus we obtain (3.1). The second assertion of the Lemma then follows by (1.2). □

We need two results from Khinchin's book on continued fractions [Khi].

Lemma 6. *For all $x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ and all $n\ge1$ we have $v_n\ge2^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$.*

Proof. This is Theorem 12 of [Khi]. □

The following lemma is a minor refinement of Theorem 31 of [Khi].

Lemma 7. *Let $K\ge1$. Then for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $B_\varepsilon>0$ such that*

$$E(K):=\operatorname{meas}\big(\big\{x\in[0,1]\mid v_r(x)\ge Ke^{B_\varepsilon r}\ \exists r\ge1\big\}\big)\ll_\varepsilon K^{-1+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 31 of [Khi], we see that for all $n,B\ge1$ we have

$$\operatorname{meas}(E_n(K))\ll\frac{2^n}{Ke^{Bn}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1}\frac{(\log(Ke^{Bn}))^\ell}{\ell!},$$

where $E_n(K) := \left(\left\{x \in [0,1] \mid v_n(x) \geq Ke^{Bn}\right\}\right)$ (this is the first equation on page 68 of [Khi], with $g = Ke^n$). Now, if $K \leq e^{Bn}$ and B is large enough, then

$$\mathrm{meas}(E_n(K)) \ll \frac{2^n}{Ke^{Bn}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\log(Ke^{Bn}))^\ell}{\ell!} \ll \frac{2^n}{Ke^{Bn}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2Bn)^\ell}{\ell!} \ll \frac{n}{K} \frac{(4ne^{-B})^n}{n!} \ll \frac{e^{-Bn/2}}{K},$$

where we used $C^\ell/\ell! \ll C^n/n!$, valid for $0 \leq \ell \leq n \leq C$, and Stirling's formula. In the same way, if $K > e^{Bn}$ and B is large enough, then

$$\mathrm{meas}(E_n(K)) \ll \frac{n(4\log K)^n}{Kn!e^{Bn}} \ll \frac{(e^{-B/2}\log K)^n}{Kn!}.$$

Thus, we have

$$E(K) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{meas}(E_n(K)) \ll_\varepsilon K^{-1+e^{-B/2}}$$

and the Lemma follows. □

Corollary 8. *For $K \geq 1$, we have*

$$\mathrm{meas}(\{x \in [0,1] \mid |S(x)| > K\}) = O(e^{-\delta K}) \tag{3.3}$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 6 and 7, if $x \in [0,1] \setminus E(e^K)$ we have

$$S(x) \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_\varepsilon n + K}{2^{n/2}} \ll_\varepsilon 1 + K$$

and (3.3) follows. □

We can now prove Theorem 2 and Corollary 1.

Proof of Theorem 2 and Corollary 1. Expressing the linear constraint in the definition of H_k as an integral, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H_k &= (i\pi^2)^{-k} \lim_{X\to\infty} \int_0^1 \sum_{\substack{-X\leq n_1,\dots,n_k\leq X,\\ n_1\cdots n_k\neq 0}} \frac{\mathrm{e}((n_1+\cdots n_k)x) d(|n_1|)\cdots d(|n_k|)}{n_1\cdots n_k}\, dx \\ &= \lim_{X\to\infty} \frac{2^k}{\pi^{2k}} \int_0^1 D_X(1,x)^k\, dx = \frac{2^k}{\pi^{2k}} \int_0^1 D(1,x)^k\, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the exchange of order of summation and integration is justified by the dominated convergence theorem, since $D_X(1,x) \ll S(x)$ by Lemma 5 and, by (3.3),

$$\int_0^1 S(x)^k dx \leq \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \chi_L(x) L^k dx \ll \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} L^k e^{-(L-1)\delta} \ll A^k k!,$$

for some $\delta, A > 0$ and where χ_L is the characteristic function of the set $\{x \mid L-1 \leq S(x) \leq L\}$.

Since we also have $D(1,x) \ll S(x)$, the above computation also proves Theorem 2. Corollary 1 then follows since $\sum_{k=1} H_k t^k/k!$ has a positive radius of convergence. □

Finally, we prove Corollary 2.

Proof of Corollary 2. By Lemma 7, we can find some absolute constants K, B such that the set

$$S(x_1, x_2, \kappa) := \{x = \langle 0; 1, \dots, 1, x_1, x_2, a_{\kappa+3}, a_{\kappa+4}, \dots \rangle \mid 1 \le a_\ell \le Ke^{B\ell}, \forall \ell \ge \kappa + 3\}$$

has positive measure for any $x_1, x_2, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus, to prove the corollary it is enough to show that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist integers $x_1, x_2, \kappa \ge 1$ such that $z < D(1, x) \le z + \varepsilon$ for all $x \in S(x_1, x_2, \kappa)$.

Now, if $x \in S$ then by (1.8) we have $D_{\sin}(s, x) = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C}$, where

$$\mathcal{A} = -\frac{\pi^2}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} \frac{(-1)^\ell}{v_\ell} \left(\frac{1}{\pi v_\ell} + \psi\left(\frac{v_{\ell-1}}{v_\ell} \right) \right),$$

$$\mathcal{B} = -\frac{\pi^2}{2} \sum_{\ell=\kappa+1}^{\kappa+2} \frac{(-1)^\ell}{v_\ell} \left(\frac{1}{\pi v_\ell} + \psi\left(\frac{v_{\ell-1}}{v_\ell} \right) \right),$$

and, by (1.2) and Lemma 6,

$$\mathcal{C} \ll \sum_{\ell=\kappa+3}^{\infty} \frac{\log v_\ell}{v_{\ell-1}} \ll \sum_{\ell=\kappa+3}^{\infty} \frac{\log K + B\ell}{2^\ell} \le \varepsilon/10,$$

provided that $\kappa = \kappa_\varepsilon$ is large enough. Now, from the relation $v_n = a_n v_{n-1} + v_{n-2}$, we see that $v_{\kappa+1} = x_1 v_\kappa + v_{\kappa-1}$ and $v_{\kappa+2} = x_2(x_1 v_\kappa + v_{\kappa-1}) + v_\kappa$. Thus, by (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &= \alpha_\kappa \log(x_1) - \beta_\kappa \frac{\log(x_2)}{x_1 + \gamma_\kappa} + o(1) \\ &= \alpha_\kappa \log(x_1) - \beta_\kappa \frac{\log(x_2)}{x_1} + o(1) + O\left(\frac{\log(x_2)}{x_1^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as $x_1, x_2 \to \infty$ (and κ fixed), for some $\alpha_\kappa, \beta_\kappa, \gamma_\kappa \ne 0$. Now, if we pick

$$x_2 := [\exp\big(\beta_\kappa^{-1} x_1 (\alpha_\kappa \log(x_1) + \mathcal{A} - z - \varepsilon/2)\big)],$$

then $\mathcal{B} = z - \mathcal{A} + \varepsilon/2 + o(1)$. Thus, if x_1 is large enough we have

$$z < D(1, x) \le z + \varepsilon$$

and the corollary follows. □

Remark 3. *We remark that a modification of this proof in the spirit of* [Hic] *would have given Corollary 3 directly.*

References

[Bag] Bagchi, Bhaskar. *On Nyman, Beurling and Baez-Duarte's Hilbert space reformulation of the Riemann hypothesis.* Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. 116 (2006), no. 2, 137-146; arxiv math.NT/0607733.

[BC] Bettin S.; Conrey, J.B. *A reciprocity formula for a cotangent sum.* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, 2013, no. 4.

[dBT] de la Bretèche, R.; Tenenbaum, G. *Séries trigonomètriques à coefficients arithmétiques.* J. Anal. Math. 92 (2004), 1–79.

[DFI] Duke, W.; Friedlander, J.B.; Iwaniec, H. *Bilinear forms with Kloosterman fractions.* Invent. Math. 128 (1997), no. 1, 23–43.

[Hic] Hickerson, D. *Continued fractions and density results for Dedekind sums.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 290 (1977), 113–116.

[Ish] Ishibashi, M. *The value of the Estermann zeta functions at $s = 0$.* Acta Arith. 73 (1995), no. 4, 357–361.

[Khi] Khinchin, A.Y. *Continued fractions.* The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London 1964.

[MR] Maier, H.; Rassias M.T. *Generalizations of a cotangent sum associated to the Estermann zeta function.* Preprint, arxiv math.NT/1410.2145

Sandro Bettin – Centre de Recherches Mathématiques - Université de Montréal, P.O. Box 6128, Centre-ville Station, Montréal, QC, H3C 3J7, Canada